Notes on African plants

VARIOUS AUTHORS

AMARYLLIDACEAE

THE IDENTITY OF NERINE FLEXUOSA

CURRENT APPLICATION OF THE NAME NERINE FLEXUOSA

The epithet flexuosa was first applied to a nerine by Jacquin in his Hort. Schoenbr. 1:35, t.67 in 1797 under the title Amaryllis flexuosa. Jacquin's type specimen is no longer extant so that the interpretation of Nerine flexuosa (Jacq.) Herb. must rest on Plate 67 and Jacquin's description of it. These refer to a cultivated plant with the following characteristics: obtuse-ended leaves which are short and immature at flowering and develop fully only some considerable time after flowering, a large umbel (up to 15 cm diam.), open in form and 6-flowered; and perianth segments about 3,8 cm long, smooth-margined in the lower half, slightly undulate in the upper half, clearly centrally veined with deeper pink, not widened at the base nor narrowed above the base. Midseason flowering. Exact locality unknown.

When Baker dealt with N. flexuosa in Flora Capensis in 1896, he cited various herbarium specimens from the Bruintjies Hoogte area of the Somerset East Division of the eastern Cape. Baker's description, supplemented by measurements taken from the herbarium sheets referred to by Baker and by data from living specimens from Bruintjies Hoogte, refers to plants with leaves usually well developed (20-50 cm long) at flowering time, tapering gradually to a fairly acute apex; umbels of (6)-10-(16) flowers, compact in form, averaging 9 cm in diameter; and perianth segments 2,1-3,4 cm long, distinctly crisped even in the lower half, mostly widened at the base and narrowed or 'rolled' for a short distance above the base, lacking a distinct central vein of deeper pink. Late flowering.

When Miss W. F. Barker dealt with the nerine from Pluto's Vale, Albany Division, in *Flower. Pl. S. Afr.* 15: t.561 (1935), her measurements showed that this plant was very close to the nerines found in the Somerset East District which were used by Baker for his *Flora Capensis* description. Accordingly, Miss Barker followed Baker and identified the Pluto's Vale plants as *Nerine flexuosa*.

A point by point comparison between Jacquin's plant and the Bruintjies Hoogte-Boschberg plants reveals clearly that the latter Eastern Province plants are a very poor match of Nerine flexuosa (Jacq.) Herb. However, plants collected in the Clanwilliam-Piketberg-Laingsberg area of the South West Cape (Pocock PRE 30286; Martin & Steytler NBG 66387, and Logan NBG 66397) are good matches of Jacquin's illustration. It seems, therefore, that a new name is needed for the eastern species described by Baker and by Barker, and this aspect will be dealt with in another article.

Before turning to N. humilis, two varieties of Nerine flexuosa should be mentioned. The first is Nerine flexuosa var. pulchella (Herb.) Bak., illustrated and described (by Herbert) in Curtis's bot. Mag. t.2407 (1823) under the title Nerine pulchella Herb. Apparently no specimen of this plant was preserved and the figure and description seem to be inadequate for certain identification with material from the wild, but it does not seem to be misplaced as a very vigorous, glaucous-leafed form of N. flexuosa (Jacq.) Herb. Secondly, in Flora Capensis (1896), Baker described Nerine flexuosa var. sandersonii. Fortunately the type specimen of this is at Kew and Mr J. R. Sealy (pers. comm. 1960) stated that, from the measurements taken and from the general appearance of the type material, it was his opinion that this plant 'is not well placed as a variety of Nerine flexuosa and would be better associated with N. falcata Barker and N. laticoma (Ker-Gawler) Dur. & Schinz.' In his 'Review of the genus Nerine' in Plant Life (1967), Dr H. P. Traub came to the same conclusion as Mr Sealy.

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN NERINE FLEXUOSA AND N. HUMILIS

The specific epithet humilis was first used for a plant referable to Nerine by Jacquin in his Hort. Schoenbr. 1: 36, t.69 (1797) in the name Amaryllis humilis. Once again, Jacquin's type specimen is no longer available so that Nerine humilis (Jacq.) Herb. must rest on Jacquin's figure and description. These refer to a small plant with obtuse-ended leaves 5–8 mm wide, produced after the flowering scape, a small open umbel of 3 flowers about half the size of N. flexuosa on a 15 cm peduncle with perianth segments up to about 3 cm long with a distinct central vein.

If these details are compared with Baker's account of N. humilis in Flora Capensis it will be seen that his description has diverged considerably from Jacquin's and seems to refer as much to Jacquin's N. flexuosa as to Jacquin's N. humilis. Two of the four specimens cited by Baker are also misleading, for Drege's collection from Table Mountain is not Nerine humilis but N. sarniensis, and Zeyher's Albany collection is usually placed under N. undulata. If Jacquin's descriptions and figures of his N. flexuosa and N. humilis are compared, side by side, it will be seen that there is a very striking similarity in general morphology, and that the chief difference is one of size. Ker-Gawler recognized this when he wrote in the Bot. Reg. t.172 (1817) that: 'It is extremely difficult to define any distinctions between this species (flexuosa) and humilis . . . which do not resolve into differences of size and its consequences . . . they may be distinct species . . . but we confess ourselves unable to elicit a single stable discriminating mark except size.'

My own studies seem to confirm this conclusion. Because of the very complex topographical conditions and the considerable differences in microclimates experienced in the South West Cape, even in areas lying close together, one would expect a considerable degree of variation within a species. Examination of the material confirms this. Now that we have so many more specimens for study, we know that forms intermediate in size between Jacquin's large N. flexuosa and his small N. humilis exist. Moreover, N. tulbaghensis is regarded as a dwarf form of the N. flexuosa-humilis complex. From a visual inspection of the available material, it appears as if there is a fairly gradual variation in size from the smaller to the larger forms without any sharply defined gap or discontinuity. Pending a detailed statistical analysis of all the material, it seems advisable to take a rather broad view of the material under discussion, consequently I propose that Jacquin's N. flexuosa and his N. humilis be regarded as two forms of one widely distributed rather variable, polymorphic species,

What should this widely circumscribed species be called? The epithets flexuosa and humilis were both published in the same volume and I propose that the name humilis should be used for the following reasons: the name flexuosa has been so widely misapplied in the past that it would be wise to sink it; and that, whereas the very large and the really dwarf forms of the complex are comparatively rare, the fairly small forms, which are common and are widely distributed in the South West Cape, have always been known to botanists as N. humilis so that the retention of this epithet would cause the minimum of confusion. N. flexuosa will then be relegated to synonymy. This is accordingly done below.

Nerine humilis (Jacq.) Herb. in Curtis's bot. Mag. sub t. 2124 (1820); Baker in Fl. Cap. 6, 2: 213 (1896), partly excl. Drège and Zeyher specimens; W.F. Barker in Flower. Pl. S. Afr. 15: t.564 (1935). Type: t.69 in Jacq., Hort. Schoenbr. 1 (1797).

Amaryllis humilis Jacq., Hort. Schoenbr. 1: 36, t.69 (1797); Ker-Gawl. in Curtis's bot. Mag. t.726 (1804).

A. flexuosus Jacq., Hort. Schoenbr. 1: 35, t.67 (1797); Ker-Gawl. in Bot. Reg. t.172 (1817). Nerine flexuosa (Jacq.) Herb. in Curtis's bot. Mag. sub t.2124 (1820); Baker in Fl. Cap. 6:211 (1896) partly, excl. var. sandersonii Bak. and certain cited specimens; non W. F. Barker in Flower. Pl. S. Afr. 15: t.561 (1935). Type: t.67 in Hort. Schoenbr.

Nerine pulchella Herb. in Bot. Reg. App. 19 (1821); Curtis's bot. Mag. t,2407 (1823).

N. tulbaghensis W. F. Barker in Flower. Pl. S. Afr. 15: t.565 (1935). Type: Ross-Frames sub BOL 20369.

Bulb ovoid to ovoid-oblong, from 1,2-3,75 cm diam. Leaves 3-7, mostly sprouting shortly before or during flowering and developing to maturity long after flowering, linear, minutely and inconspicuously punctate, flat to somewhat channelled, suberect or spreading, green to glaucous, apex fairly blunt, mature leaves from (10)-15-25-(30) cm long and from (3)-5-12-(18) mm wide. Inflorescence (1)-3-7-(9)-

flowered, umbel loose and open, 4,5 to 15 cm in diameter; peduncle terete, glabrous, (10)-15-35-(45) cm long by 2-5 mm wide, green sometimes flushed red at base; spathe valves lanceolate, up to 5,7 cm long; pedicels terete, glabrous, firm, 1-5,6 cm (mostly 2,5-3 cm) long, 1,5-2 mm wide. Flowers with a zygomorphic perianth, segments linear, 2,2-3,8-(4,5) cm long, 3-5 mm wide, usually 4 or 5 spreading-ascending and 2 or 1 descending, base not widened, margin with basal half smooth and upper half slightly undulate, variable in colour from very pale pink to deep pink with a clearly defined deeper pink median stripe on the basal half of the upper side and, on the lower side, extending towards the apex. Stamens cream to pink, slightly declinate, 2-4 cm long, slightly shorter than the segments, non-appendiculate; pollen from pale yellow to greyish-white. Style longer than stamens, strongly recurved when mature; Stigma 3-lobed.

Flowering chiefly in April in the Southern Hemisphere. Widely distributed through the winter-rainfall area of the South West Cape; stretching in an arc from Clanwilliam in the north through Tulbagh, Worcester, Caledon and Swellendam to Riversdale in the east.

Specimens examined:

- 3218 (Clanwilliam): Zebra Kop, Piketberg Mtns (-DB), Esterhuysen 14471; Kapiteins Kloof Piketberg (-DC), Pillans s.n. 3219 (Wuppertal): Boschkloof Cedarberg Mtns (-DC), Pocock 30286 (PRE) and 4476; Cedarberg Mtns (-AC) Martin & Steytler 66387 (NBG); Olifants River Mtns (-CA/DB), Esterhuysen 15272. 3318 (Cape Town): Moorreesburg distr. (-BA), Herre 3942. 3319 (Worcester): Saron distr. (-AA), Andrag s.n.; Krakadouw Peak (-AA) Esterhuysen 15008 (BOL); Hansiesberg (-AB), Esterhuysen 25716; Witzenberg Flats (-AC), Marloth 1705; Tulbagh Kloof (-AC), Ross-Frames 20369 (BOL); Elandskloof, Ceres (-BD), Barker 4477; Hex River Mtns (-BD), Botha 15426; Esterhuysen 7795; 7805; Bainskloof (-CA), Barker 2056; 4539; Du Toits Kloof (-CA), Thompson 1159; Elandskloof off Du Toits Kloof (-CA), Van Niekerk & Esterhuysen 16452; Brandvlei Lake (-CB), Van Breda 1577; April Peak, Wemmershoek Mtns (-CC), Esterhuysen 16819; Franschhoek distr. (-CC), Ridley 3897 (NBG); Zachariashoek nr Wemmershoek Dam (-CC), Taylor 4777; near De Doorns (-CD) H. Bolus 13199; Tweefontein nr De Wet Stn (-DA), Van Breda 1207; Langvlei Quarry (-DB), Winter 142; Robertson distr. (-DD), Marloth 8414. 3320 (Montagu): Hillandale, Matjiesfontein (-BA), Logan 37182 (PRE) & 66397 (NBG); Kogmanskloof (-CC), Hall 2736; Shale hills nr Bonnievale (-CC), Marloth 11995; Anysberg (-DB), Hall 308 (NBG); Wurts 1532 or 1353; Burghers Pass (-DC), Goldblatt 1684; Tradouw Pass (-DC), Goldblatt 1696; Montagu distr., Isaacs 461; Salter 2114. 3321 (Ladismith): S side of Klein Swartberg -AC/AD), Wurts 1341; Seven Weeks Poort (-AD); Compton Salter 2114. 13220; Swartberg Forest Reserve (-BD), Taylor 4720 or 2740. 3419 (Caledon): Happy Valley nr Greyton (-BA), Barker 2055; Esterhuysen 5070; Riviersonderend Mtns (-BA/BB), Lewis 3011 and 3012. 3420 (Bredasdorp): De Hoop Reserve Potberg (-AD), Burgers 1940; Duiwelshokrivier between Vermaaklikheid and Fort Beaufort (-BD), Lewis 5943; Strawberry Hill Heidelberg (-DD), Barker 8953. 3421 (Riversdale): Jan Muller Bridge Gourits River (-BA), Barker 9242; Zanddrift, Muir 889.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to record my very grateful thanks to Miss W. F. Barker, Dr L. E. Codd, Mrs A. A. Mauve and Mr J. Robert Sealy (Kew) for all their assistance and kindly advice in the preparation of this paper.

K. H. DOUGLAS*

^{* 6} Oatlands Road, Grahamstown 6140.