## HYACINTHACEAE

## NEW COMBINATIONS IN LEDEBOURIA

The close relationship among *Drimiopsis* Lindl. & Paxton, *Ledebouria* Roth and *Resnova* Van der Merwe (Hyacinthoideae: Massonieae) that was highlighted by Jessop (1972) has subsequently resulted in their segregation as the subtribe Ledebouriinae within tribe Massonieae (Müller-Doblies & Müller-Doblies 1997). Characters that define the group include the mostly spotted or streaked leaves, commonly multiple inflorescences per annual growth cycle, reduced or obsolete bracts, and paired ovules per locule; often with just a single seed developing in only one or two of the locules. The leathery capsule walls and the complete dehiscence, with the locules reflexing completely, are diagnostic for the group.

The generic status of the three taxa is less clear, however, and *Resnova* has usually been treated as synonymous with either *Drimiopsis* (Jessop 1972) or

Ledebouria [as Scilla] (Phillips 1951). More recently, Müller-Doblies & Müller-Doblies (1997) proposed their reinstatement at generic level, a treatment that was followed by Lebatha et al. (2006). The development of molecular techniques has prompted a renewed interest in the systematic status of the three genera, but preliminary phylogenetic analyses of plastid sequence data have either failed to provide compelling evidence supporting the recognition of three genera (Manning et al. 2004), or have actually demonstrated that Ledebouria is paraphyletic unless it includes Drimiopsis and Res*nova*, although the latter two are evidently monophyletic (Pfosser & Speta 1999; Pfosser et al. 2003; Wetschnig & Pfosser 2003; Lebatha et al. 2006; Wetschnig et al. 2007). A morphological cladistic analysis of the group provided only weak support (jacknife support value 55) for a monophyletic Ledebouria, based on a limited sampling of just eight species in the genus (Lebatha et al.

2006). Proposed synapomorphies for *Ledebouria s.str.* were a conical and severally lobed ovary, a style that is longer than the ovary, and punctate-reticulate pollen (Lebatha *et al.* 2006). Of these states, ovary shape and lobing are not consistent across the genus, with both ovoid and turbinate and 3- or 6-lobed ovaries present in the genus (Venter 2008). *Ledebouria s.str.* thus remains very weakly diagnosable.

The lack of convincing molecular evidence supporting the recognition of the three genera as monophyletic lineages led Manning *et al.* (2004) to include both *Drimiopsis* and *Resnova* in a broadly circumscribed *Ledebouria*. They suggested that the various floral differences between the three taxa that are generally used to justify their separation at generic level probably represent linked pollination syndromes, and are thus not independent. In any event, there is no doubt that the three taxa are closely allied, and their taxonomic status at this stage is primarily a matter of choice, although molecular data favours their treatment as a single genus.

The broader circumscription of *Ledebouria* proposed by Manning *et al.* (2004) has been implemented in the latest checklist of southern African plants (Manning & Goldblatt 2006), but a new species of *Drimiopsis* described since then (Hankey *et al.* 2008) lacks a nomenclatural combination in *Ledebouria*. We provide this combination here to facilitate its integration into checklists and floras that adopt the broader generic circumscription. A combination is also provided for *Resnova transvaalensis* Van der Merwe, which was treated by Manning *et al.* (2004) as conspecific with *Resnova humifusa* Van der Merwe, following Müller-Doblies & Müller-Doblies (1997), but which we now consider to be distinct.

We also take this opportunity to formalize the status of *Drimiopsis* and *Resnova* at sectional level within *Ledebouria*. Current morphological and molecular analyses suggest that these two groups are monophyletic and it is useful to have a formal way of referring to them as infrageneric clusters within *Ledebouria* (Lebatha *et al.* 2006). It is likely that additional sections will be required in order to render sect. *Ledebouria* monophyletic, but this will require a more complete analysis of the group.

Ledebouria Roth, Novae plantarum species praesertim Indiae orientalis: 194 (1821). Type: Ledebouria hyacinthina Roth

Section **Drimiopsis** (Lindl. & Paxton) *J.C.Manning* & *Goldblatt*, stat. nov.

Drimiopsis Lindl. & Paxton: 73 (1851). Type: Drimiopsis maculata Lindl. = Ledebouria petiolata J.C.Manning & Goldblatt

Ledebouria linioseta (A.J.Hankey & P.D.Lebatha) J.C.Manning & Goldblatt, comb. nov. Drimiopsis linioseta A.J.Hankey & P.D.Lebatha, in Hankey et al.: 72 (2008). Type: South Africa, Mpumalanga, between Roossenekal and Lydenburg, 25 Nov. 1999, Hankey & Turner 900 (PRE, holo.; K, iso.).

Section **Resnova** (Van der Merwe) *J.C.Manning & Goldblatt*, stat. nov.

*Resnova* Van der Merwe: 46 (1946). Type: *Resnova humifusa* (Baker) U.Müll.-Doblies & D.Müll.-Doblies, designated by Müller-Doblies & Müller-Doblies (1997: 59).

Ledebouria transvaalensis (Van der Merwe) J.C.Manning & Goldblatt, comb. nov. Resnova transvaalensis Van der Merwe: 46 (1946). Type: South Africa, [Mpumalanga], Piet Retief Distr., Amsterdam, Van der Merwe s.n. PRE26432 (PRE, holo.).

## REFERENCES

- HANKEY, A.J., BUYS, M.H. & LEBATHA, P.D. 2008. Drimiopsis linioseta (Hyacinthaceae), a new species from the Sekhukhuneland centre of endemism, South Africa. Bothalia 38: 72–74.
- JESSOP, J.P. 1972. Studies in the bulbous Liliaceae in South Africa: 2. Drimiopsis and Resnova. Journal of South African Botany 38: 151–162.
- LEBATHA, P.D., BUYS, M.H. & STEDJE, B. 2006. Ledebouria, Resnova and Drimiopsis: a tale of three genera. Taxon 55: 643–652.
- LINDLEY, J & PAXTON, J. 1851. Paxton's Flower Garden vol. 2. London.
- MANNING, J.C. & GOLDBLATT, P. 2006. Hyacinthaceae. In G. Germishuizen, N.L. Meyer, Y. Steenkamp & M. Keith (eds), A checklist of South African Plants: 952–971. Southern African Biodiversity Network Report No. 41. SABONET, Pretoria.
- MANNING, J.C., GOLDBLATT, P. & FAY, M.F. 2004. A revised generic synopsis of *Hyacinthaceae* in sub-Saharan Africa, based on molecular evidence, including new combinations and the new tribe *Pseudoprospereae*. *Edinburgh Journal of Botany* 60: 533–568.
- MÜLLER-DOBLIES, U. & MÜLLER-DOBLIES, D. 1997. A partial revision of the tribe Massonieae (Hyacinthaceae). *Feddes Repertorium* 32: 49–96.
- PHILLIPS, E.P. 1951. The genera of South African flowering plants Ed. 2. Botanical Survey Memoir No. 25. Department of Agriculture, Division of Botany and Plant Pathology, Pretoria.
- PFOSSER, M. & SPETA, F. 1999. Phylogenetics of Hyacinthaceae based on plastid DNA sequences. *Annals of the Missouri Botani*cal Garden 86,4: 852–857.
- PFOSSER, M., WETSCHNIG, W., UNGAR, S. & PRENNER, G. 2003. Phylogenetic relationships among genera of Massonieae (Hyacinthaceae) inferred from plastid DNA and seed morphology. *Journal of Plant Research* 116: 115–132.
- ROTH, A.W. 1821. Novae plantarum species praesertim Indiae orientalis. Halberstad.
- VAN DER MERWE, F.Z. 1946. Aantekeninge vir die hersiening van die genus Scilla L. in Suid-Afrika. 'n Nuwe genus: Resnova. Tydskrif vir Wetenskap en Kuns 6: 41–46.
- VENTER, S. 2008. Synopsis of the genus *Ledebouria* Roth (Hyacinthaceae) in South Africa. *Herbertia* 62: 85–155.
- WETSCHNIG, W. & PFOSSER, M. 2003. The Scilla plumbea puzzle—present status of the genus Scilla sensu lato in southern Africa and description of Spetaea lachenaliiflora, a new genus and species of Massonieae (Hyacinthaceae). Taxon 52: 75–92.
- WETSCHNIG, W., KNIRSCH, W., ALI, S.S. & PFOSSER, M. 2007. Systematic position of three little known and frequently misplaced species of Hyacinthaceae from Madagascar. *Phyton* 47: 321–337.

## J.C. MANNING\*, \*\* and P. GOLDBLATT\*\*\*

<sup>\*</sup> Compton Herbarium, South African National Biodiversity Institute, Private Bag X7, 7735 Claremont, Cape Town.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Research Centre for Plant Growth and Development, School of Biological and Conservation Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pietermaritzburg, Private Bag X01, Scottsville 3209, South Africa

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> B.A. Krukoff Curator of African Botany, Missouri Botanical Garden, P.O. Box 299, St. Louis, Missouri 63166, USA. MS. received: 2010-11-08.