## BORAGINACEAE

### CODONOIDEAE, A NEW SUBFAMILY BASED ON CODON

The genus *Codon* was formally established by Carl Linnaeus (1767) in the second volume of the 12th edition of his *Systema naturae*. He placed the genus in his Class X: Decandria, Monogynia. The generic name is derived from the Greek word *kodon*, a bell (although the flowers do not hang down), and alludes to the shape of the flowers of *C. royenii* L., which are deeply cup-shaped. *Codon* comprises two described species, *C. royenii* and *C. schenckii* Schinz, both endemic to Namibia and South Africa. A possible undescribed third species is found in the southern part of Namibia and is currently under investigation.

It was in France that a move towards more 'natural' groupings of plants was first made. It is clear from his writings that Linnaeus recognized natural affinities, but that ease of classification and identification were his main objectives (Gunn & Codd 1981). Michel Adanson's Familles des plantes (1763–64) can be regarded as the

first 'logically and philosophically sound basis for a classification of plants' (Stafleu & Cowan 1976). In 1789 Antoine-Laurent de Jussieu followed with his *Genera plantarum*. He published the description of 'Borragineae' as one of 100 orders (i.e. families). Many of his families are still maintained in modern classifications. De Jussieu based 'Borragineae' on the genus *Borago* L. He divided 28 genera into three different groups using fruit morphology as a distinguishing character: 1, berry-like fruits; 2, one- or two-locular capsules; and 3, four separate nutlets. He regarded *Codon* as a genus of uncertain position.

Of the five genera of Hydrophyllaceae known to him, De Jussieu (1789) assigned *Hydrophyllum* L., *Phacelia* Juss. and *Ellisia* L. to 'Borragineae' and *Nama* L. and *Hydrolea* L. to 'Convolvuli'. R. Brown separated the former trio of genera as the natural order Hydrophylleae in 1810, and the latter two as the natural order 'Hydroleae' in 1818. Choisy (1833) treated the Hydroleae in a mono-

graph, recognizing the genera *Hydrolea*, *Nama*, *Wigandia* Kunth and *Romanzoffia* Cham.; to these he added *Eriodictyon* Benth. in 1846, and at the same time vigorously defended the distinctness of the Hydroleae. De Candolle (1846: 589) was the first to place *Codon* in the family Hydrophyllaceae. Gray (1875) united all the genera mentioned in the family Hydrophyllaceae, which he divided into four tribes. Baillon (1890) merged Hydrophyllaceae under Boraginaceae, but his view was not followed at the time. Hydrophyllaceae was restored by Brand (1913) in a monograph of the family.

Until recently, most authors accepted the Hydrophyllaceae as a separate family. A comparison between Hydrophyllaceae and Boraginaceae in southern Africa based on pollen and macromorphological characters, however, shows a strong overlap of features. The surface structure of pyrenes of Ehretia P.Browne shows similarity with the seeds of Nama (compare Retief & Van Wyk 2001: 15 and Chance & Bacon 1984: 832), although this may not be meaningful, as the outer surfaces in these two structures are obviously not homologous. Of more significance is the likeness between pollen grains of Wellstedia Balf.f. and those of Eriodictyon, Nama and Phacelia (compare Constance & Chuang 1982 and Retief & Van Wyk 2005); tapetal orbicules or Ubisch bodies—sporopollenin particles usually lining the inner tangential tapetal cell walls of secretory tapetums—of Wellstedia and Codon show similarity in morphology (Retief et al. 2001). The broad family concept of Baillon (1890) is followed here, and we agree with the Angiosperm Phylogenetic Group (APG) (1998, 2003) and Långström & Chase (2002) who regard Hydrophyllaceae and Lennoaceae as synonyms of Boraginaceae s.l.

Modern views on the delimitation of Boraginaceae differ, for example, 1, segregating a separate family, Heliotropiaceae (Diane *et al.* 2002) from Boraginaceae *s.l.*; or 2, recognizing several segregate families: Boraginaceae *s. str.*, Cordiaceae, Ehretiaceae, Heliotropiaceae, Hydrophyllaceae, Lennoaceae and Wellstediaceae (Lebrun & Stork 1997; Gottschling *et al.* 2001; Gottschling 2003). However, in neither of these two approaches has the position of *Codon* been considered.

In Ferguson's (1999) phylogenetic analysis of evolutionary relationships within the Hydrophyllaceae, it is concluded that the family is nested within a paraphyletic Boraginaceae s.l., excluding Codon and Hydrolea. Hydrolea is placed in a family of its own (APG II 2003). Codon is included in Boraginaceae s.l. in a treatment of this family for the Cape flora (Retief & Buys 2000: 374, 706). The genus *Codon* has traditionally been assigned to the Hydrophyllaceae, where it seems to be unusual geographically, as the family is otherwise largely restricted to the New World. Similarities between Codon and other members of Boraginaceae indicate that *Codon* should be placed in a subfamily of its own within Boraginaceae s.l. A new subfamily, Codonoideae, is established here to accommodate this southern African genus within Boraginaceae s.l., the other local subfamilies being Wellstedioideae, Ehretioideae, Cordioideae, Heliotropioideae and Boraginoideae (Retief 2000: 179; Retief & Van Wyk 2001). The precise classification of the other, mainly New World genera (see Brand 1913) of Hydrophyllaceae s.str. within Boraginaceae s.l. has not yet been addressed but they would most probably also require placement in one or more additional subfamilies.

Phytogeographically the restriction of Codon to the arid southwestern corner of Africa is of special interest. Its nearest relatives appear to be those members of Boraginaceae s.l. previously placed in Hydrophyllaceae s. str., found mainly in North America, but with members of both Nama and Phacelia also occurring in South America (Deginani 1999). Among plants, African-New World distributions are rather unusual, but for southern Africa, involve as many as seven families and many more genera (Goldblatt 1978). It is intriguing that several other plant groups with a distribution pattern comparable to that of Codon, namely with a disjunct presence in southwestern and in northeastern Africa, show links with taxa in the New World. Among these are the boraginaceous genus Wellstedia as well as members of Calliandra, Caesalpinia, Haematoxylon, Hoffmannseggia, Parkinsonia, Xerocladia (all Fabaceae), Nicotiana (Solanaceae), Thannosma (Rutaceae) and Turnera (Turneraceae) (Van Wyk & Smith 2001). These African-New World disjuncts may have been established in different ways, but one possible explanation is based on the proximity of Africa and South America during Gondwana times and for quite some time after the break-up of the supercontinent.

Members of the new subfamily Codonoideae, established here, show the strongest affinity with the subfamilies Wellstedioideae and Ehretioideae in Boraginaceae s.l.—in similar pollen, inflorescence and trichome morphology. However, the Codonoideae differ from Wellstedioideae in the 10 or 12 (not 4) corolla lobes and in the seed which is subglobose and glabrous (not truncate and pubescent). They differ from Ehretioideae in habit in that they are annual or short-lived perennial herbs (not shrubs or trees) and in the fruit which is a capsule (not a drupe).

Codonoideae Retief & A.E.van Wyk, subfam. nov. Type: Codon royenii L.

Herbae annuae vel breviter perennes, patentes ad erectae, interdum basi lignescentes. Partes vegetativae aculeatopubescentes trichomatibus spiniformibus, et setis trichomatibusque multicellularibus non ramosis. *Folia* petiolata. *Flores* cymis scorpioideis, ebracteati. *Calyx* profunde lobatus, lobi lineares. *Corolla* 10- vel 12-lobata, tubus cylindricus vel campanulatus, fauce glabra. *Stylus* terminalis, linearis, paene ad medium fissus, persistens; stigma capitatum. *Fructus* capsula multiseminalis. Semina globosa vel irregulariter angulosa, ornata.

Spreading to erect, annual or short-lived perennial herbs, sometimes woody at base. All vegetative parts prickly pubescent with spine-like trichomes, also with setae and unbranched, multicellular trichomes. *Leaves* petiolate. *Inflorescence* scorpioid. *Calyx* deeply lobed, lobes linear. *Corolla* 10- or 12-lobed, tube cylindric or campanulate, throat naked. *Style* terminal, linear, cleft to almost halfway, persistent; stigma capitate. *Fruit* a capsule, many-seeded. *Seeds* globose or irregularly angled, ornamented.

Genus: Codon L.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We wish to thank Dr O.A. Leistner for translating the subfamily description into Latin.

#### REFERENCES

- ANGIOSPERM PHYLOGENETIC GROUP. 1998. An ordinal classification for the families of flowering plants. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 85: 531–553.
- ANGIOSPERM PHYLOGENETIC GROUP II. 2003. An update of the Angiosperm Phylogenetic Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG II. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 141: 399–436.
- BAILLON, H.E. 1890. Hydrophylleae. *Histoire des plantes* 10. Hachette,
- BRAND, A. 1913. Hydrophyllaceae. In A. Engler, *Das Pflanzenreich* 59 (4, 251): 1–210.
- BROWN, R. 1810. Prodromus florae novae Hollandieae. Johnson, London.
- BROWN, R. 1818. Observations, systematical and geographical, on Professor Christian Smith's collection of plants in the vicinity of the river Congo. In J.K. Tuckey, *Narrative of an expedition to explore the river Zaire*. John Murray, London.
- CHANCE, G.D. & BACON, J.D. 1984. Systematic implications of seed coat morphology in *Nama* (Hydrophyllaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 71: 829–842.
- CHOISY, J.D. 1833. Description des Hydroléacées. Mémoirs de la société de physique et d'histoire naturelle de Genève 6: 95–122.
- CHOISY, J.D. 1846. Hydroleaceae. In A. de Candolle, *Prodromus* 10: 179–185.
- CONSTANCE, L. & CHUANG, T.I. 1982. SEM survey of pollen morphology and classification in Hydrophyllaceae (Waterleaf family). American Journal of Botany 69: 40–53.
- DE CANDOLLE, A.P. 1846. Hydroleaceae? *Prodromus* 10: 588, 589. Masson, Paris.
- DEGINANI, N.B. 1999. Hydrophyllaceae. In O. Zuloaga & O. Morrone, Catálogo de las Plantas Vasculares de la República Argentina II. Missouri Botanical Garden Press, Missouri.
- DE JUSSIEU, A.L. 1789. Genera plantarum. Herissant & Barrois, Paris.
- DIANE, N., FÖRTHER, H. & HILGER, H.H. 2002. A systematic analysis of *Heliotropium*, *Tournefortia*, and allied taxa of the Heliotropiaceae (Boraginales) based on ITS1 sequences and morphological data. *American Journal of Botany* 89: 287–295.
- FERGUSON, D.M. 1999. Phylogenetic analysis and relationships in Hydrophyllaceae based on *ndhF* sequence data. *Systematic Botany* 23: 253–268.
- GOLDBLATT, P. 1978. An analysis of the flora of southern Africa: its characteristics, relationships and origins. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 65: 369–436.
- GOTTSCHLING, M. 2003. *Phylogenetic analysis of selected Bora*ginales. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis. Freie Universität, Berlin.

- GOTTSCHLING, M., HILGER, H.H., WOLF, M. & DIANE, N. 2001. Secondary structure of the ITS1 transcript and its application in a reconstruction of the phylogeny of Boraginales. *Plant Biology* 3: 629–636.
- GRAY, A. 1875. A conspectus of the North American Hydrophyllaceae.

  Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences 10: 312–332.
- GUNN, M. & CODD, L.E. 1981. Botanical exploration of southern Africa. Balkema, Cape Town.
- LÅNGSTRÖM, E. & CHASE, M.W. 2002. Tribes of Boraginoideae (Boraginaceae) and placement of Antiphytum, Echiochilon, Ogastemma and Sericostoma: a phylogenetic analysis based on atpB plastid DNA sequence data. Plant Systematics and Evolution 234: 137–153.
- LEBRUN, J. & STORK, A.L. 1997. Énumération des plantes à fleurs d'Afrique tropicale 4: 374–386.
- LINNAEUS, C. 1767. Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, edn 12: 292.
- RETIEF, E. 2000. Boraginaceae. In O.A. Leistner, Seed plants of southern Africa: families and genera. *Strelitzia* 10: 178–183.
- RETIEF, E. & BUYS, M.H. 2000. Boraginaceae. In P. Goldblatt & J. Manning, Cape plants. A conspectus of the Cape flora of South Africa. Strelitzia 9: 374–377. National Botanical Institute, Pretoria, and Missouri Botanical Garden, Missouri.
- RETIEF, E. & VAN WYK, A.E. 2001. The genus *Ehretia* (Boraginaceae: Ehretioideae) in southern Africa. *Bothalia* 31: 9–23.
- RETIEF, E. & VAN WYK, A.E. 2005. The genus *Wellstedia* (Boraginaceae: Wellstedioideae) in southern Africa. *Bothalia* 35. In prep.
- RETIEF, E., VAN WYK, A.E. & VAN DER MERWE, C.F. 2001. Tapetal orbicules in southern African Boraginaceae. Proceedings of the Microscopy Society of Southern Africa 31: 56.
- STAFLEÜ, F.A. & COWAN, R.S. 1976. Taxonomic literature A-G: 49-52. Bohn, Scheltema & Holkema, Utrecht/Antwerpen.
- VAN WYK, A.E. & SMITH, G.F. 2001. Regions of floristic endemism in southern Africa: a review with emphasis on succulents. Umdaus Press, Pretoria.

## E. RETIEF\*† and A.E. VAN WYK\*\*

- \* National Herbarium, South African National Biodiversity Institute, Private Bag X101, 0001 Pretoria.
- $\dagger$  Student affiliation: Department of Botany, University of Pretoria, 0002 Pretoria.
- \*\* H.G.W.J. Schweickerdt Herbarium, Department of Botany, University of Pretoria, 0002 Pretoria.

  MS. received: 2004-06-30.