BORAGINACEAE

THE TAXONOMIC STATUS OF LOBOSTEMON HORRIDUS

Levyns (1934) in describing L. horridus Levyns, lists a Levyns 2881 collection. She did not, however, personally collect this material as it is clear from the particular herbarium label that J. Rennie was the collector. Levyns based her description on two herbarium specimens available to her, namely Compton 2971 (BOL) and J. Rennie s.n. sub Levyns 2881 (BOL, STE). Subsequent collections have been few and far between. A total of four collections,

the last being Acocks 23698 (PRE) in 1965, had been made at the onset of the current revision of the genus. The aforementioned scarcity of collections resulted in L. horridus being included in the Red Data List of southern African plants (Hilton-Taylor 1996). All attempts to recollect the taxon at the type locality have failed. The most recent collection close to the type locality has been M.H. Buys 523 at Pienaarskloof, about 40 km northwest of Mat-

jiesfontein. Examination of all available herbarium specimens as well as field work led us to suspect that L. horridus and L. paniculatus are conspecific.

According to the diagram presented by Levyns (1934: 412), L. paniculatus and L. horridus are closely related. Although Levyns provides no summary of differing characters in her diagnosis of L. horridus, one can catch a glimpse at what her thoughts might have been through her choice of the specific epithet. The herbarium specimens at her disposal both exhibit leaves with an extremely spinous indumentum. The current revision has confirmed that leaf characters based on the indumentum are unreliable for systematic purposes. Levyns (1934) warns against the undue use of morphological characters in Lobostemon, yet leaf shape and indumentum type distinguish L. paniculatus from L. horridus in her key.

A critical comparison of characters between L. paniculatus and L. horridus reveals no significant diagnostic differences between them. The difference in hairiness of the leaves, the pronounced midrib and thickened margins are ascribed to arid habitats. The less spiny forms (= L. paniculatus) are generally to be found near water in and amongst the larger mountain ranges of the Swartberg. The spiny forms (= L. horridus) become more abundant as one proceeds further into the interior of South Africa. The variation allowed here in the indumentum with the sinking of L. horridus is no greater than that allowed for in L. echioides for example.

L. paniculatus and L. horridus share the following character states to the exclusion of the other members of the section Lobostemon: 1, adaxial surface of young leaves appearing glabrous, becoming hairy with age; 2, possession of two distinct trichome lengths; 3, two corolla lobes slightly larger than the rest; 4, hairs on the abaxial side of the corolla lobes largely confined to the midveins (this differs markedly from L. echioides where the hairs are confined to the distal parts of the lobes); 5, identical fruit structure.

It is for the above reasons that L. horridus Levyns is viewed to be conspecific with L. paniculatus. The nomenclatural history of L. paniculatus therefore reads as follows:

Lobostemon paniculatus (Thunb.) Buek in Linnaea 11: 139 (1837); DC.: 8 (1846); C.H. Wright: 33 (1904); Levyns: 418 (1934). Type: Cap. b. Spei, Thunberg s.n. sub UPS 4109 (UPS, holo.!).

Echium paniculatum Thunb.: 33 (1794); Willd.: 784 (1798); Pers.: 163 (1805); Schrad.: 41 (1806); Poir.: 675 (1808); Thunb.: 9 (1811); Lehm.: 425, 473 (1818); Roem. & Schult.: 11 (1819); Lehm.: t. 23 (1823); Thunb.: 165 (1823).

Lobostemon horridus Levyns: 419 (1934). Type: Whitehill near Matjiesfontein, J. Rennie s.n. sub Levyns 2881 (BOL, lecto.!; STE, iso.!).

REFERENCES

BUEK, H.W. 1837. Echia capensia. Linnaea 11: 129-149.

DE CANDOLLE, A.P. 1846. Prodromus systematis naturalis regni vegetabilis 10: 8. Treuttel & Würtz, London.

GREUTER, W., BARRIE, F. R., BURDET, H. M., CHALONER, W. G., DEMOULIN, V., HAWKSWORTH, D. L., JORGENSEN, P. M., NICOLSON, D. H., SILVA, P. C., TREHANE, P. & MCNEILL, J. 1994. International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (Tokyo Code) adopted by the Fifteenth International Botanical Congress, Yokohama, August-September 1993. Regnum vegetabile 131.

HILTON-TAYLOR, C. 1996. Red Data List of southern African plants. Strelitzia 4. National Botanical Institute, Pretoria.

LEHMANN, J.G.C. 1818. Plantae e familiae Asperifoliarum nuciferae: 425, 473. Dümmler, Berlin.

LEHMANN, J.G.C. 1823. Icones et descriptiones novarum et minus cognitarum stirpium auctore 1: t. 23. Perthes & Besser, Hamburg.

LEVYNS, M.R. 1934. A revision of Lobostemon. Journal of the Linnean Society 49: 393-451.

PERSOON, C.H. 1805. Synopsis plantarum, seu Enchiridium botanicum complectens enumerationem systematicam specierum hucusque cognitarum 1: 163. Cramerum, Paris.

POIRET, J.L.M. 1808. Encyclopedie methodique, Botanique 8: 675. Agasse, Paris.

ROEMER, J.J. & SCHULTES, J.A. 1819. Caroli a Linne equitis systema vegetabilium: 11. Cottae, Stuttgardt.

SCHRADER, H.A. 1806. Neues Journal für die Botanik 1,3: 41.

THUNBERG, C.P. 1794. Prodromus: 33.

THUNBERG, C.P. 1811. Flora capensis edn 1, 1,2: 9.

THUNBERG, C.P. 1823. Flora capensis edn 2: 165.

WILLDENOW, C.L. 1798. Species plantarum 1,2: 784.

WRIGHT, C.H. 1904. Flora capensis 4,2: 27-44. Lovell Reeve, London.

M.H. BUYS and J.J.A. VAN DER WALT

^{*} Department of Botany, University of Stellenbosch, Private Bag XI, Matieland, 7602 Stellenbosch. MS. received: 1996-12-02.